

AD-A243 194



2

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant or Contract N00014-91WX-24155

R&T Code 4134053

Technical Report No. 3

A Reexamination of ^{19}F NMR in Selected Solids,
The Conductor Ag_2F and Reference Insulators for
Studies of $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ -Type Superconductors

by

Hongjun Pan and B. C. Gerstein
Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50011

Hans R. Loeliger, Visiting Scientist
Ciba-Geigy Ltd.
CH 4002 Switzerland

and

T. A. Vanderah
Chemistry Division, Research Department
Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA 93555

Applied Magnetic Resonance 1(1), 101-112 (1990)

October 1991

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

91-17394



91 1209 078

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)

2. REPORT DATE
Oct 91

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
Technical Report #3 10/90-9/91

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

A Reexamination of (19)F NMR in Selected Solids, The Conductor Ag₂F and Reference Insulators for Studies of YBa₂Cu₃O₇-Type Superconductors

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

N00014-91WX-24155

6. AUTHORS

Hongjun Pan, Hans R. Loeliger, T. A. Vanderah, and B. C. Gerstein

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555-6001

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Office of Naval Research (Chemistry Program)
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING
AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Applied Magnetic Resonance 1(1), 101-112 (1990)

12a. DISTRIBUTION /AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

NMR signals of ¹⁹F have been measured in the polycrystalline inorganic conductor Ag₂F and in the polycrystalline insulators AgF, YOF, EuOF, YF₃, CuF₂, BaF₂ and KF to compare them to the signals found in the so-called "1-2-3-type" compounds with claimed formulas RBa₂Cu₃O_{7-x}F_x (R=Y and Eu). No evidence for a Knight-shifted, built-in fluorine-signal was found in the 1-2-3-type superconductors, whereas Ag₂F shows a clearly downfield shifted ¹⁹F peak with reference to AgF.

14. SUBJECT TERMS

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF REPORT

UNCLASSIFIED

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF ABSTRACT

UNCLASSIFIED

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Applied Magnetic Resonance

1(1), 101-112 (1990)

1

A REEXAMINATION OF ^{19}F NMR IN SELECTED SOLIDS, THE CONDUCTOR Ag_2F AND REFERENCE INSULATORS FOR STUDIES OF $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ -TYPE SUPERCONDUCTORS*

Hongjun Pan^a, Hans R. Loeliger^b, T. A. Vanderah^c, B. C. Gerstein^a

Accession For	
DTIC ORGA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC Tab	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Classification	
By	
Distribution	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A-1	

a: Ames Laboratory, Iowa state University, Ames, Iowa 50011; b: Visiting Scientist, Ciba-Geigy Ltd., CH 4002 Switzerland; c: Naval Weapons Center Chemistry Division, Research Department, China Lake CA 93555.

*Supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (Basic Energy Sciences program, Chemical Science Division), under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82 and the Office of Naval Research.

ABSTRACT

NMR signals of ^{19}F have been measured in the polycrystalline inorganic conductor Ag_2F and in the polycrystalline insulators AgF , YOF , EuOF , YF_3 , CuF_2 , BaF_2 and KF to compare them to the signals found in the so-called "1-2-3-type" compounds with claimed formulas $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ ($\text{R}=\text{Y}$ and Eu). No evidence for a Knight-shifted, built-in fluorine-signal was found in the 1-2-3-type superconductors, whereas Ag_2F shows a clearly downfield shifted ^{19}F peak with reference to AgF .

INTRODUCTION

Evidence of incorporated F in the so called "1-2-3-type", $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ -derived compounds has been cited¹⁻⁵⁾. The aim was to confirm an increase in T_c as Ovshinsky⁶⁾ claimed in 1987 by substitution of F for O. One expects for the 1-2-3-type compounds, since they display metallic conductivity at room temperature, a downfield-shifted F NMR resonance (compare: ^{17}O NMR shifts⁷⁾). As a guideline for judging the line positions and shapes of fluorine incorporated in the 1-2-3-type compounds, we therefore reexamined the ^{19}F NMR signals in a metallic inorganic conductor (Ag_2F), in the insulating starting materials used to synthesize the superconductor phases (YOF , EuOF and YF_3) and in BaF_2 and CuF_2 which could be formed during the synthesis. AgF and KF were used as reference samples. There appear to be only two inorganic compounds containing fluorine and showing high metallic conductivity: (1) Silver subfluoride⁸⁾, Ag_2F , with NMR results reported by Nishihara et al.⁹⁾ and (2) $(\text{Ag}_7\text{O}_8)^+(\text{HF}_2)^-$ reported by Hindermann¹⁰⁾. Pulsed NMR of ^{19}F in solids has been reported by Vaughan et al.¹¹⁾ and more recently by Clark et al.¹²⁾. A number of results by CW ^{19}F NMR on anhydrous and hydrated forms of AgF ¹³⁾, on YF_3 and also on BaF_2 and KF have been reviewed by Gabuda and Zemskov¹⁴⁾.

EXPERIMENTAL

A. Sample Preparation and Characterization

All samples, except Ag_2F and AgF , were prepared as follows⁴⁾: YOF and EuOF were obtained by reacting equimolar amounts of YF_3 (Reacton, 99.99%), EuF_3 (Reacton, 99.9%) and Y_2O_3 (Reacton, 99.9%) or Eu_2O_3 (Lindsay Chemicals), respectively, at 650°C for 18h in an alumina combustion boat in air. The oxyfluoride products were pure according to X-ray powder diffraction. The " $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ " samples were synthesized by reacting ROF ($\text{R}=\text{Y}, \text{Eu}$) with 2BaCO_3 (Mallinckrodt) and 3CuO (Matthey) in alumina combustion boats in air using heating and cooling regimes similar to those used to prepare high quality $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ ¹⁵⁾. In each case the major component was a $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ -like phase and BaF_2 as a minor component. CuO and R_2O_3 were sometimes detectable by X-ray diffraction. Samples of " $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ " containing the least amount of BaF_2 were prepared by reacting ROF ($\text{R}=\text{Y}, \text{Eu}$) and BaCO_3 in two heating cycles with intermediate grinding: 800°C for 12h, and $875\text{--}900^\circ\text{C}$ for 10h followed by cooling in 8h to room temperature. A semiquantitative X-ray diffraction analysis was carried out as follows: Mixtures of BaF_2 , CuO , Y_2O_3 and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ in relative amounts corresponding to the products which would have been obtained assuming (I) complete conversion of the reactant fluoride to BaF_2 and (II) 50% conversion of reactant fluoride to BaF_2 were mixed and heated in the same way as the " $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ " samples. The relative intensities of the diffraction peaks at $d = 3.58 \text{ \AA}$, the strongest line in the pattern of BaF_2 , were compared in these mixtures with those of the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ -like phases in order to estimate x .

These results were consistent with a partial incorporation on the order of 0.2 mole of F per Mol of host. Incorporation of some fluoride in the 1-2-3-type lattice was also indicated by changes in the unit cells and iodometric analyses of average copper oxidation states⁴⁾. The "R₂Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x}F_x" samples displayed superconducting transitions in the magnetic susceptibility versus temperature curves occurring 20-25 K lower than the reported values for YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-δ}. The transition ranges are also broader and show a much weaker Meissner effect.

AgF, 99.9%, with some content of AgF·(H₂O)_x, and KF·2H₂O were both obtained from Aldrich Chemicals. Ag₂F was prepared by the method of L.Poyer et al.¹⁶⁾, suggested by R.E.McCarley, Ames Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns of Ag₂F agreed with published data¹⁷⁾.

B. NMR Measurements

Pulsed NMR measurements were performed on a homebuilt ¹⁹F NMR probe, with the double bearing version rotors of Wind's design¹⁸⁾, capable of spinning up to more than 7 KHz, in a Bruker MSL-300, operated at a frequency of 282.2 MHz, and with a 90° pulse of 2 μs duration. Fluorine-free spinner materials used were either polycarbonate or Araldite (Ciba-Geigy Ltd., Basel, Switzerland); Torlon (DuPont) was found to contain F.

Transient techniques in NMR included: (1) single pulse excitation, either static or with Magic Angle Spinning (MAS), (2) spin echo as Spin Echo Envelope Mapping (SEEM). SEEM involves 90_x-τ-180_y and Fourier-Transformation from the center of the echo. It is applied to obtain the

line shape of wide lines. By increasing the RF frequency, with re-tuning of the probe for each new frequency, in steps from values smaller than the center-frequency to larger values, a series of spectra are obtained; the envelope of these signals map the envelope of the broad NMR line. (3) static Multi-Pulse-Dipolar-Decoupling (MPD) or (4) CRAMPS, Combined Rotation and Multi-Pulse Decoupling using the MREV-8 sequence⁽¹⁹⁾, with cycle time $t_c = 48 \mu s$.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the present results compared to previously reported data. Because the shift values were measured against C_6F_6 , i.e. $\delta(C_6F_6) = 0$, most of the earlier results had to be converted to this reference. Earlier papers results are referred mostly to $\delta(F_2) = 0$, the so called "Absolute Fluorine Scale". The resonance of F_2 gas lies very far downfield compared to C_6F_6 . So, to convert the data of the present work to the shift region around $\delta(C_6F_6)$ requires subtractions of large numbers. For the present work $\delta(C_6F_6) - \delta(F_2)$ was taken to be $-585.8 \text{ ppm}^{(20)}$. This fact and the relatively large uncertainties of the CW data, mainly in earlier Russian work, explain some of the smaller discrepancies reported in Table 1.

The present results for Ag_2F and AgF lie far outside of these conversion errors. The isotropic shifts differ by a factor of about 1.5 from the published data^{9,21}). The reference AgF , supposedly of a white (to lightly yellowish) color²²) was supplied by Aldrich Chemicals as an orange-brown material. Ag_2F and AgF were both sealed in the

polycarbonate NMR spinner under dry nitrogen in the absence of light. In the AgF sample, not surprisingly, therefore, instead of one, there were two well defined signals, one with high intensity at -150 ppm and a less intense peak at +38 ppm. The latter signal was assigned to the brown, hydrated form of silver fluoride, probably $\text{AgF}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$ ($x=2?$)²²). This assumption was confirmed by the fact, that after drying 24h in vacuum at 140°C the peak at 38 ppm had disappeared^{**}). The high intensity peak remained, with a slight downfield shift (at most 5 ppm) and is therefore assigned to water-free AgF.

The present results on BaF_2 agree with those of Vaughan^{11a)} and of Burum^{11b)} to within experimental error, whereas the older Russian CW work shows large deviations from these results. The signal of YOF under MPD shows an axial shielding tensor with principal values of $\sigma_{\parallel} = 110 \pm 5$ ppm and $\sigma_{\perp} = 37 \pm 5$ ppm (table 1). The EuOF shows a very large paramagnetic shift and could only be reasonably detected by the method of Spin-Echo-

^{**}) During drying at 140°C the reaction $2\text{AgF} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Ag}_2\text{O} + 2\text{HF}$ takes place and therefore the sample darkens. But HF seems not to react to form $\text{Ag}^+(\text{FHF})^-$ since after drying, the lineshape showed no sign of a wide doublet as expected from a comparison measurement with $\text{K}^+(\text{FHF})^-$. The water remaining in the sample of AgF after drying was only a few percent of the amount originally present in the fresh commercial sample. This fact was checked by proton NMR.

Envelop-mapping (SEEM). The measured linewidth is 800 ± 50 ppm. For YF_3 static MPD resulted in resolving the components of the asymmetric shielding tensor to be $\sigma_{11} = 140$ ppm, $\sigma_{22} = 108$ ppm and $\sigma_{33} = 82$ ppm. The calculated value of $\bar{\sigma}$ compares quite well with the measured one considering the errors of both measurement and the remarks given in the discussion (see table 1). The only earlier measurement of the chemical shift powder pattern, known to the authors, is given in a paper by Gabuda et al.²³⁾ with a value of $\bar{\sigma}$ differing significantly from the present value. ^{19}F in CuF_2 also shows a paramagnetic shift and a linewidth of 290 ppm. The signal could only be detected by SEEM.

The present determination of the isotropic shift of dried KF agrees with reference 11a, but more recent values¹²⁾ differ by some ppm, probably on account of the recalculation from the CFCl_3 -to the C_6F_6 -scale presently used. Aqueous KF solutions have been remeasured for comparison. The measurements reported in references 9 and 21 used KF solutions as reference. Also shown in earlier work is the concentration dependence of δ in these solutions. Only at very high concentrations, not used in the present work, a slight δ -decrease of about 2 ppm is reported^{12,24)}.

DISCUSSION

The main difference of the present results compared to those published earlier is found in the much larger shift values reported in the present work for Ag_2F and AgF . A scale calibration error in the earlier work ⁹ and ²¹⁾ could explain the discrepancy. Therefore calculating the expected diamagnetic shift of Ag_2F as in ⁹⁾ the present data would yield the value of -55ppm (i.e. 195ppm-250ppm, where the latter number is the theoretically calculated Knight-shift in reference ⁹⁾. Note however that the static signal of this sample is of a slightly asymmetric shape with a clearly indicated shoulder in the downfield direction (see figure 2). Within the limits of error, this asymmetry is interpreted as a small axial Knight shift anisotropy (see table 1). This assignment conforms to the axial arrangement of the nearest neighbors of the fluorine atoms in Ag_2F , which has the trigonal CdI_2 structure, $\text{P}\bar{3}\text{m}1$ (D_{3d}^3) ^{25a)}.

The crystal structure of AgF is cubic and of the NaCl-type ($\text{Fm}\bar{3}\text{m}$, O_h^5) and therefore shows an isotropic shift with symmetrical lineshape.

The YOF sample seems to be of the ordinary β -modification, crystallizing in the trigonal space group $\text{R}\bar{3}\text{m}(\text{D}_{3d}^5)$ with hexagonal axes ²⁵⁾. This symmetry is in agreement with the present work showing an axially symmetric chemical shift tensor. No indication of paired formation of fluorines ²⁶⁾ was found.

In YF_3 the present determination of an asymmetric shielding tensor can be understood from the known crystal structure of this compound ²⁵⁾, showing an orthorhombic lattice with space group $\text{Pnma}(\text{D}_{2h}^{16})$; the unit

cell contains 12 fluorine atoms, 4 of them occupy site (1) and the other 8 are positioned in a nonequivalent site (2). Each fluorine in site (1), F(1), is surrounded by 6 nearest atoms in a range of distances between 2.6 and 2.8 Å; these are 5 F and 1 Y. At the second site (2), nonequivalent to site (1), each F(2) is surrounded quite differently: 2 Y lie very near, at 2.3 Å and the 3 nearest F are at distances between 2.6 and 2.8 Å. The local symmetry of both sites is nonaxial, but the F(2) sites would appear to be more highly anisotropic. On the other hand the unit cell contains twice as many F(2) atoms. Therefore it seems very probable to assign our observed σ anisotropy to the fluorines at the (2)-sites, the surroundings of the fluorines at the (1)-site appearing quasiisotropic. Furthermore the isotropic chemical shift of the two sites must be almost the same, since in CRAMFS only one peak is found within the limits of the resolution (2ppm).

CuF_2 is an antiferromagnetic compound being paramagnetic at room temperature. The observed line width is too large to infer any structural information from the spin echo lineshape. To the knowledge of the authors the only published NMR on CuF_2 has been in the region of the Neel temperature and below, in zero-field²⁷⁾.

In spite of a careful search for fluorine incorporated in the 1-2-3-type superconductors, no indication of a positively shifted fluorine signal, nor any signal of residual starting material of the preparation (YOF , EuOF , YF_3) therein was found. The present results indicate that the only fluorine-signals found in the compounds " $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}\text{F}_x$ "⁴⁾ were small amounts of BaF_2 , for R=Y : $5 \cdot 10^{-1}$, for R=Eu : $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-1}$ mol(BaF_2)/(1 mol host). This is in agreement qualitatively with our semiquantitative

X-Ray diffraction analysis. The quantity of F detected in BaF_2 is 25 times or 8 times the minimum amount of F detectable for $\text{R}=\text{Y}$ or Eu , respectively, under the present experimental conditions. These detection limits are predicated upon detectability in the superconductor (in the normal state) being the same as in the insulators and in Ag_2F studied in this work.

Acknowledgement: Enlightening discussions with the staff members of the Physics and Chemistry Departments and Ames Laboratories of Iowa State University are fully appreciated : on crystallographic questions with Dr. L. Miller, on clarification in Knight-Shift work with Dr. B. Harmon. Thanks for some experimental support are also due to Dr.s M. S. Prusky and mostly to Vinco Rutar. Dr. R. E. McCarley and M. Close were of great help with their advices on the chemistry of silver fluoride and silver subfluoride and with the allowance to use part of their equipment. H.L. thanks Dr. B.C. Gerstein for his kind hospitality during this work.

REFERENCES

1. H.H. Wang et al., Inorganic Chemistry 27, 5-8 (1988)
2. P.K. Davies et al., Solid State Communications 64 (12), 1441-1444 (1987)
3. S. M. Zahurak et al., Preprint on: "Effects of Fluorination on 90K Superconductors $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ ($\text{R}=\text{Y, Eu}$; $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$)", AT & T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill New Jersey 07 974; submitted to Material Research Bulletin 1989
4. T.A. Vanderah et al., Mat. Res. Bull. 24, 121-129 (1989)
5. D. White, C.E. Lee et al., University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104. Abstract for 29th Experimental Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Conference, Rochester, New York, April 17-21, 1988 and private communication of D. White, April 13, 1989
6. S.R. Ovshinsky et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 58(24), 2579-2588 (1987)
7. Christopher Caretsopoulos, H.C. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. B 39, 781-784 (1984)
8. R.Hilsch et al., Naturwissenschaften 44, 463-464 (1957)
9. H. Nishihara, I. Shirotni, N. Inoue, Physica 105 B, 107-110 (1981) and Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 48(6), 1057-1060 (1980)
10. D.K. Hindermann et al., Journal of Magnetic Resonance 1, 479-481 (1969)
11. a. R.W. Vaughan, D.D. Elleman et al., Journal of Chemical Physics 57, 5883-5890 (1972)
b. D.P. Burum, D.D. Ellmann et al, Journal of Chemical Physics 68, 1164-1169 (1978)

12. J.H. Clark et al., J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Communications. No 1-12, 657-658 (1986)
13. L.M. Avkhutsky, S.A. Polishuk, S.P. Gabuda, Siberian Chemistry Journal 4, 455-456 (1968) (Engl. Transl. of: Izvestia Sibirskogo Otdeleniya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Khimicheskikh Nauk 4, 128-129 (July-Aug. 1968))
14. S.P. Gabuda, S.V. Zemskov, Journal of Structural Chemistry 3, 379-393 (1987) (Engl. Transl. of: Zhurnal Strukturnoi Khimii 28, 56-74 (1987))
15. D.C. Harris, T.A. Hewston, J. Solid State Chem. 69 182-185 (1987)
16. Lee Poyer et al., "Inorganic Syntheses", vol. V, McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. 1957
17. Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS), Microfiche: No. 17-325 (Ag_2F) (see also: National Bureau of Standards Report 9086, April 1966)
18. B.C. Gerstein, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A299, 521-546 (1981)
19. R.A. Wind et al., Journal of Magnetic Resonance 52, 424-434 (1983)
20. Annual Reports on NMR-Spectroscopy 10B, 5 (1980)
21. Q. Won Choi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 2686-2689 (1960)
22. Gmelins Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie, 8. Auflage, Verlag Chemie 1971; Silber: Teil B1; 5.3 Silberfluorid AgF , P.P. 297 ff.
23. L.M. Avkhutsky et al., S.P. Gabuda, Spectroscopy Letters 2(3), 75-79 (1969)
24. R.E. Connick, R.E. Poulsen, J. Phys. Chem. 63, 568-569 (1959)
25. a. Ralph W.G. Wyckoff "Crystal Structures", Second Edition Vol.2, John Wiley, New York 1962.

b. International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography", The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England 1952

26. Yu.N. Mosckvinch, V.M. Buznik et al., Sov. Phys. Crystallography 23(2), 232-234 (1978) (Engl. Transl. of Kristallografiya 23, 416-418 (1978)
27. T.J. Bastow et al., Solid State Communications 39, 325-328 (1981)
28. Yu.V. Gagarinskii, S.P. Gabuda et al., Siberian Chemistry Journal 1, 82-85 (1968) (Engl. Transl.)
29. L.M. Avkhutsky et al., S.P. Gabuda, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR (Engl. Transl.) 188(6), 1285-1287 (1968)
30. Yu.V. Gagarinskii, S.P. Gabuda, Journal of Structural Chemistry (Engl. Transl.) 11(5), 897-917 (1970)

Index to the table:

- a) Before drying, as delivered and handled under dry N_2 gas; Shielded against light.
- b) After 24h of drying at $140^\circ C$, under vacuum (3×10^{-2} torr).
- c) Not possible to remove $H \cdots F$ coupling of water.
- d) Resolution of peaks with MAS not possible.
- e) $KF \cdot 2H_2O$ dried at $150^\circ C$.
- f) δ is independent of concentration within the range 5-35% gKF/100ml solution (0.9-6M/L; secondary reference for Ag_2F and AgF).
- g) Halfwidth of Spin-Echo-Envelope obtained by appropriate frequency variation.
- h) 9) and 21), wherein error values are cited.
- i) 28)
- k) 23) and 29), wherein error values are cited.
- l) The first data is cited in 14), the second is taken from fig.1 in 14).
- m) 24) AgF in 1 molar aqueous solution; δ -shift converted from F_2 -to C_6F_6 -scale.
- n) 11a)
- o) 11b)
- p) 23)
- q) 30)
- r) Are there pairs of fluorine in trigonal YOF? 26).
- s) 23) and 30)
- t) 12) KF dried at $>100^\circ C$; MAS at 3KHz; Shift values converted from $CFCl_3$ -to C_6F_6 -scale with $\delta(CFCl_3) - \delta(C_6F_6) = 162.9 \text{ ppm}^{20}$.
- u) 24) Shift values converted F_2 -to C_6F_6 -scale with $\delta(F_2) - \delta(C_6F_6)$

=585.8ppm²⁰⁾; see also remarks under 1); all converted shift values suffer from this transformation and are therefore subject to an error.

Captions to figures:

Figure 1: Ag_2F , $\delta \sim 196\text{ppm}$, with MAS. The sample still contains small amounts of $\text{AgF} \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$, $\delta \sim 41\text{ppm}$, and AgF , $\delta \sim 150\text{ppm}$, after preparation.

Figure 2: Anisotropic, static line pattern of the Knight-shifted Ag_2F , with $K_{\parallel} \sim 228 \pm 5\text{ppm}$, $K_{\perp} \sim 182 \pm 3\text{ppm}$.

Figure 3: AgF , $\delta \sim 149\text{ppm}$, "impurity" $\text{AgF} \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$, $\delta \sim 37\text{ppm}$, with MAS, before drying the sample.

Figure 4: Static peak of AgF , $\delta \sim 145\text{ppm}$, after drying the sample shown in figure 3; the peak at $\delta \sim 37\text{ppm}$ has disappeared.

Figure 5: BaF_2 , $\delta \sim 152\text{ppm}$, with MAS and $\sim 6.3\text{ KHz}$ spinning frequency.

Figure 6: YOF , $\delta \sim 83\text{ppm}$, with MAS.

Figure 7: EuOF with Static Spin-Echo Mapping.

Figure 8: Static MPD of YF_3 ; 3 peaks at $\sigma_{11} \sim 140\text{ppm}$, $\sigma_{22} \sim 108$, $\sigma_{33} \sim 82\text{ppm}$.

Figure 9: CuF_2 , $\delta \sim 60\text{ppm}$, with Static Spin-Echo Mapping.

¹⁹F Chemical Shifts and Line Widths in δ:

COMPOUNDS	Single Pulse				Spin-Echo		Multipulse Decoupling		
	STATIC		MAS		Peak center	Line width	STATIC		
	Peak Center	δ _A	δ _B	Center Peak			σ ₁₁	σ ₂₂	σ ₃₃
Ag ₂ F	189 ⁺ 5	182 ⁺ 5	228 ⁺ 5	197 ⁺ 2					
AgF ^{a)} AgF ^{b)}	-153 ⁺ 3 -145 ⁻ 3			-149 ⁺ 1			-150 ⁺ 1	-150 ⁺ 1	-150 ⁺ 1
AgF·(H ₂ O) _x (x=2?)	40 ⁺ 2			37 ⁺ 2			c)		
BaF ₂	157 ⁺ 3			152 ⁺ 1			152 ⁺ 1	152 ⁺ 1	152 ⁺ 1
YOF	84 ⁺ 5	σ _A : 112 ⁺ 5	σ _B : 37 ⁺ 5	83 ⁺ 3			107 ⁺ 3	107 ⁺ 3	37 ⁺ 3
EuOF					+460 ⁺ 20	g) 800			
YF ₃	103 ⁺ 15			d)			140 ⁺ 3	108 ⁺ 3	82 ⁺ 3
CuF ₂					+60 ⁺ 10	g) 290			
KF	37 ⁺ 3						35 ⁺ 1	35 ⁺ 1	35 ⁺ 1
KF-Solution ^{f)}	49 ⁺ 1								

①




FIGURE ①

Ag_2F , $\delta \sim 196$ ppm , with MAS . The sample still contains small amounts of $\text{AgF} \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$, $\delta \sim 41$ ppm , and AgF , $\delta \sim -150$ ppm , after preparation .

②




FIGURE ②

Anisotropic, static line pattern of the Knight-Shifted Ag_2F .

with $K_{\parallel} \sim 228 \pm 5$ ppm , $K_{\perp} \sim 182 \pm 3$ ppm .




FIGURE ③

AgF, $\delta \sim -149$ ppm , + "impurity" $\text{AgF} \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$, $\delta \sim 37$ ppm ,

with MAS, before drying the sample .




FIGURE ④

Static peak of AgF , $\delta \sim -145$ ppm, after drying the sample
shown in figure ③ ; the peak at $\delta \sim 37$ ppm has disappeared.

5




FIGURE 5

BaF_2 , $\delta = 152.4$ ppm, with MAS and ~ 6.3 KHz spinning frequency.

⑥




FIGURE ⑥

YOF , $\delta \sim 83$ ppm , with MAS .




FIGURE 7

EuOF with Static Spin-Echo Mapping .




FIGURE ⑧

Static MPD of YF_3 ; 3 peaks at $\sigma_{11} \sim 140$, $\sigma_{22} \sim 108$, $\sigma_{33} \sim 82$ ppm .




FIGURE 9

CuF_2 , $\delta \approx 60$ ppm, with Static Spin-Echo Mapping.